# **Midterm Examination** Administered: Wednesday, October 10, 2001

#### Problem (1)

Consider the 2x2 matrix:

$$\underline{\underline{A}} = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & a_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$

(a) Find the eigenvalues.

- (b) Find the normalized eigenvectors.
- (c) If  $a_{11} = a_{22}$ , does  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$ ? Why or why not?
- (d) If  $a_{11} = a_{22}$ , does  $\underline{W}_1 = \underline{W}_2$ ? Why or why not?

### Solution:

(a) Find the eigenvalues.

$$det(\underline{\underline{A}} - \lambda \underline{\underline{I}}) = det\begin{bmatrix} a_{11} - \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & a_{22} - \lambda \end{bmatrix} = (a_{11} - \lambda)(a_{22} - \lambda) = 0$$

Therefore,  $\lambda_1 = a_{11}$  and  $\lambda_2 = a_{22}$ 

(b) Find the normalized eigenvectors.

$$(\underline{\mathbf{A}} - \lambda_1 \underline{\mathbf{I}}) \underline{\mathbf{w}}_1 = \underline{\mathbf{0}}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_{11} - a_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & a_{22} - a_{11} \end{bmatrix} \underline{w}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a_{22} - a_{11} \end{bmatrix} \underline{w}_1 = \underline{0}$$

Rank of  $(\underline{A} - \lambda_1 \underline{I})$  is 1 by inspection. Also, solution by inspection is  $\underline{W}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ . The second element must be zero to satisfy the equation. The first element can be selected arbitrarily. Since the eigenvector is to be normalized,

we select a value of one.

An entirely analogous process, leads to a second eigenvector,

$$\underline{\mathbf{W}}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

.

(c) If  $\mathbf{a_{11}} = \mathbf{a_{22}}$ , does  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$ ? Why or why not?

Yes, the eigenvalues are the same, because  $\lambda_1 = a_{11} = \lambda_2 = a_{22}$  as was derived in part (a).

(d) If  $a_{11} = a_{22}$ , does  $\underline{W}_1 = \underline{W}_2$ ? Why or why not?

No. The eigenvectors would be the same as they were in part (b) because the matrix  $(\underline{A} - \lambda \underline{I})$  has a rank of 0, so both values of the eigenvectors can be selected arbitrarily. If we want orthonormal eigenvectors, then we can stick with those from part (b).

### Problem (2)

Consider the system of nonlinear algebraic equations:

$$sin(x_1) + x_2 = 0$$
  
 $x_1^2 + x_2^2 = 1$ 

where  $X_1$  has units of radians.

(a) If we are going to solve this system using multivariate Newton-Raphson, we need the Jacobian and the residual. Determine them.

(b) For an initial guess of  $(x_1, x_2) = (\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2})$ , Evaluate the Jacobian and residual.

(c) What is the next estimate of the solution using multivariate Newton-Raphson?

### solution:

(a) If we are going to solve this system using multivariate Newton-Raphson, we need the Jacobian and the residual. Determine them.

$$\underbrace{J}_{=} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos(x_{1}) & 1 \\ 2x_{1} & 2x_{2} \end{bmatrix} \qquad \underbrace{R}_{=} \begin{bmatrix} \sin(x_{1}) + x_{2} \\ x_{1}^{2} + x_{2}^{2} - 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

(b) For an initial guess of  $(x_1, x_2) = (\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2})$ , Evaluate the Jacobian and residual.

$$\underbrace{J}_{=} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.8776 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \underline{R} = \begin{bmatrix} -0.0206 \\ -0.5 \end{bmatrix}$$

(c) What is the next estimate of the solution?

$$det(\underline{J}) = -0.8776 - 1 = -1.8776$$
$$\underline{J}^{-1} = \frac{1}{det(\underline{J})} \begin{bmatrix} -1 & -1 \\ -1 & 0.8776 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.5326 & 0.5326 \\ 0.5326 & -0.4674 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\underline{\delta x} = -\underline{J}^{-1}\underline{R} = -\begin{bmatrix} 0.5326 & 0.5326 \\ 0.5326 & -0.4674 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -0.0206 \\ -0.5 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.2773 \\ -0.2227 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$i + 1 \qquad i \qquad \begin{bmatrix} 0.5 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0.2773 \\ 0.2773 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0.7773 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\underline{\mathbf{x}}^{j+1} = \underline{\mathbf{x}}^{j} + \underline{\mathbf{\delta}}\underline{\mathbf{x}} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.5\\-0.5 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0.2773\\-0.2227 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.7773\\-0.7227 \end{bmatrix}$$

Problem (3)

If the determinant of an nxn matrix  $\underline{A}$  is 1.0, what can you say about:

- (a) the rank of the matrix
- (b) the linear dependence of the equations which form the matrix
- (c) the inverse of the matrix
- (d) the eigenvalues of the matrix
- (e) the eigenvectors of the matrix
- (f) the number of solutions to  $\underline{Ax} = \underline{b}$

#### solution:

(a) the rank of the matrix

The rank of the matrix is n

(b) the linear dependence of the equations which form the matrix

All equation represent by A are independent

(c) the inverse of the matrix

The inverse of A exists

(d) the eigenvalues of the matrix

The eigenvalues of A are all non-zero.

(e) the eigenvectors of the matrix

Can't say much about the eigenvectors.

(f) the number of solutions to  $\underline{A}\underline{x} = \underline{b}$ 

There is one unique solution to this system of equations.

# Problem (4)

Consider the ordinary differential equation:

$$\frac{d^3y}{dx^3} = f\left(x, y, \frac{dy}{dx}, \frac{d^2y}{dx^2}\right)$$

where f is in general a nonlinear function, and the following conditions:

$$y(x = x_0) = y_0$$
  $\frac{dy}{dx}\Big|_{x = x_0} = y'_0$   $\frac{dy}{dx}\Big|_{x = x_f} = y'_f$ 

Provide an algorithm for numerically obtaining a solution to this ordinary differential equation. Explain any transformations or recastings of the equations necessary. Name and describe particular numerical methods required in the solution.

## solution:

Recast the third-order ODE as three first order ODEs. Recognize that this problem is a BVP. Solve as an IVP using the shooting method in conjunction with a Runge-Kutta method. This means you must guess  $y''_0$  and solve

the system of 3 first order ODEs out to time  $x_{f}$ . At time  $x_{f}$ , evaluate  $\frac{dy}{dx}\Big|_{x=x_{f}}$ . If this value matches the boundary

condition within an acceptable tolerance, you are done. Otherwise, pick a new value of  $y_0''$  and try again. The

linear interpolation formula for 
$$\frac{dy}{dx}\Big|_{x=x_f}$$
 as a function of  $y''_0$  can still be used

D. Keffer, ChE 505, Dept. of Chemical Engineering, University of Tennessee, Knoxville