

Numerical Techniques for the Evaluation of Multi-Dimensional Integral Equations

**David Keffer
Department of Chemical Engineering
University of Tennessee, Knoxville
September 1999**

Table of Contents

1. Numerical Derivation of the trapezoidal rule for the 2-D case with constant integration limits	1
2. Numerical Derivation of the trapezoidal rule for the 3-D case with constant integration limits	3
3. Numerical Derivation of the trapezoidal rule for the 2-D case with variable integration limits	5
4. Numerical Derivation of the Simpson's 1/3 rule for the 2-D case with constant integration limits	7

1. Numerical Derivation of the trapezoidal rule for the 2-D case with constant integration limits

In Section 4.6 of “Numerical Recipes in Fortran 77”, second edition, you can find a brief discussion of when to use different types of numerical methods for evaluating multidimensional integrals.

For the purposes of this course, I am going to show you how to extend the one-dimensional integral evaluation to n-dimensional integral evaluation. This techniques relies upon you have rather simple boundaries to the integral.

For integrals in one dimension, we could start with something simple like the trapezoidal rule.

$$\int_a^b f(x)dx \approx \frac{h}{2} \left[f(a) + f(b) + 2 \sum_{i=2}^n f(x_i) \right] \quad (1.1)$$

Now if we have a 2-D integral we write this as:

$$I_{2D} = \int_{x_0}^{x_f} \int_{y_0}^{y_f} f(x, y) dy dx = \int_{x_0}^{x_f} g(x) dx \quad (1.2)$$

where

$$g(x) = \int_{y_0}^{y_f} f(x, y) dy \approx \frac{h_y}{2} \left[f(x, y_0) + f(x, y_f) + 2 \sum_{i=2}^{n_y} f(x, y_i) \right] \quad (1.3)$$

Substituting the discretized approximation for g(x) in equation (1.3) into equation (1.2) we have

$$\int_{x_0}^{x_f} \int_{y_0}^{y_f} f(x, y) dy dx \approx \int_{x_0}^{x_f} \frac{h_y}{2} \left[f(x, y_0) + f(x, y_f) + 2 \sum_{i=2}^{n_y} f(x, y_i) \right] dx \quad (1.4)$$

Well, we can repeat the application of the trapezoidal rule:

$$I_{2D} \approx \frac{h_x}{2} \left\{ \begin{aligned} & \left[\frac{h_y}{2} \left[f(x_0, y_0) + f(x_0, y_f) + 2 \sum_{i=2}^{n_y} f(x_0, y_i) \right] \right] \\ & + \frac{h_y}{2} \left[f(x_f, y_0) + f(x_f, y_f) + 2 \sum_{i=2}^{n_y} f(x_f, y_i) \right] \\ & + 2 \sum_{j=2}^{n_x} \frac{h_y}{2} \left[f(x_j, y_0) + f(x_j, y_f) + 2 \sum_{i=2}^{n_y} f(x_j, y_i) \right] \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (1.5)$$

Now we can simplify this as much as possible,

$$I_{2D} \approx \frac{h_x h_y}{4} \left\{ \begin{aligned} & f(x_o, y_o) + f(x_o, y_f) + f(x_f, y_o) + f(x_f, y_f) + 4 \sum_{i=2}^{n_y} \sum_{j=2}^{n_x} f(x_j, y_i) \\ & + 2 \sum_{i=2}^{n_y} [f(x_o, y_i) + f(x_f, y_i)] + 2 \sum_{j=2}^{n_x} [f(x_j, y_o) + f(x_j, y_f)] \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (1.6)$$

If we add up the number of function evaluations, we can see that we have $n_x n_y$ function evaluations. If $n_x = n_y = n$, then we have n^2 function evaluations for a 2-D integral. If we need to evaluate an m-dimensional integral, then we will have n^m function evaluations.

2. Numerical Derivation of the trapezoidal rule for the 3-D case with constant integration limits

Now if we have a 3-D integral we write this as:

$$I_{3D} = \int_{x_0}^{x_f} \int_{y_0}^{y_f} \int_{z_0}^{z_f} f(x, y, z) dz dy dx = \int_{x_0}^{x_f} h(x) dx \quad (2.1)$$

where

$$h(x) = \int_{y_0}^{y_f} \int_{z_0}^{z_f} f(x, y, z) dz dy = \int_{y_0}^{y_f} g(x, y) dy \quad (2.2)$$

where

$$g(x, y) = \int_{z_0}^{z_f} f(x, y, z) dz \quad (2.3)$$

Using the trapezoidal rule approximation:

$$g(x, y) = \int_{z_0}^{z_f} f(x, y, z) dz \approx \frac{h_z}{2} \left[f(x, y, z_0) + f(x, y, z_f) + 2 \sum_{i=2}^{n_z} f(x, y, z_i) \right] \quad (2.4)$$

Substituting the discretized approximation for $g(x,y)$ from equation (2.4) into equation (2.2) we have

$$h(x) = \int_{y_0}^{y_f} \int_{z_0}^{z_f} f(x, y, z) dz dy = \int_{y_0}^{y_f} \frac{h_z}{2} \left[f(x, y, z_0) + f(x, y, z_f) + 2 \sum_{i=2}^{n_z} f(x, y, z_i) \right] dy \quad (2.5)$$

Well, we can repeat the application of the trapezoidal rule:

$$h(x) \approx \frac{h_y}{2} \left\{ \begin{aligned} & \frac{h_z}{2} \left[f(x, y_0, z_0) + f(x, y_0, z_f) + 2 \sum_{i=2}^{n_z} f(x, y_0, z_i) \right] \\ & + \frac{h_z}{2} \left[f(x, y_f, z_0) + f(x, y_f, z_f) + 2 \sum_{i=2}^{n_z} f(x, y_f, z_i) \right] \\ & + 2 \frac{h_z}{2} \sum_{j=2}^{n_y} \left[f(x, y_j, z_0) + f(x, y_j, z_f) + 2 \sum_{i=2}^{n_z} f(x, y_j, z_i) \right] \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (2.6)$$

Now we can simplify this as much as possible,

$$h(x) \approx \frac{h_y h_z}{4} \left\{ \begin{aligned} & f(x, y_0, z_0) + f(x, y_0, z_f) + f(x, y_f, z_0) + f(x, y_f, z_f) + 4 \sum_{j=2}^{n_y} \sum_{i=2}^{n_z} f(x, y_j, z_i) \\ & + 2 \sum_{i=2}^{n_z} [f(x, y_0, z_i) + f(x, y_f, z_i)] + 2 \sum_{j=2}^{n_y} [f(x, y_j, z_0) + f(x, y_j, z_f)] \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (2.7)$$

Now we can apply the trapezoidal rule one more time:

$$I_{3D} = \frac{h_x h_y h_z}{2^3} \left[\begin{aligned} & \left\{ f(x_o, y_o, z_o) + f(x_o, y_o, z_f) + f(x_o, y_f, z_o) + f(x_o, y_f, z_f) + 4 \sum_{j=2}^{n_y} \sum_{i=2}^{n_z} f(x_o, y_j, z_i) \right\} \\ & + 2 \sum_{i=2}^{n_z} [f(x_o, y_o, z_i) + f(x_o, y_f, z_i)] + 2 \sum_{j=2}^{n_y} [f(x_o, y_j, z_o) + f(x_o, y_j, z_f)] \\ & \left\{ f(x_f, y_o, z_o) + f(x_f, y_o, z_f) + f(x_f, y_f, z_o) + f(x_f, y_f, z_f) + 4 \sum_{j=2}^{n_y} \sum_{i=2}^{n_z} f(x_f, y_j, z_i) \right\} \\ & + 2 \sum_{i=2}^{n_z} [f(x_f, y_o, z_i) + f(x_f, y_f, z_i)] + 2 \sum_{j=2}^{n_y} [f(x_f, y_j, z_o) + f(x_f, y_j, z_f)] \\ & + 2 \sum_{k=2}^{n_x} \left\{ f(x_k, y_o, z_o) + f(x_k, y_o, z_f) + f(x_k, y_f, z_o) + f(x_k, y_f, z_f) + 4 \sum_{j=2}^{n_y} \sum_{i=2}^{n_z} f(x_k, y_j, z_i) \right\} \\ & + 2 \sum_{i=2}^{n_z} [f(x_k, y_o, z_i) + f(x_k, y_f, z_i)] + 2 \sum_{j=2}^{n_y} [f(x_k, y_j, z_o) + f(x_k, y_j, z_f)] \end{aligned} \right] \quad (2.8)$$

which if we really are bored ten minutes to five on a Wednesday evening, we can rearrange as:

$$I_{3D} = \frac{h_x h_y h_z}{2^3} \left[\begin{aligned} & \left\{ f(x_o, y_o, z_o) + f(x_o, y_o, z_f) + f(x_o, y_f, z_o) + f(x_o, y_f, z_f) \right\} \\ & + \left\{ f(x_f, y_o, z_o) + f(x_f, y_o, z_f) + f(x_f, y_f, z_o) + f(x_f, y_f, z_f) \right\} \\ & + 2 \left\{ \sum_{i=2}^{n_z} [f(x_o, y_o, z_i) + f(x_o, y_f, z_i) + f(x_f, y_o, z_i) + f(x_f, y_f, z_i)] \right. \\ & + \sum_{j=2}^{n_y} [f(x_o, y_j, z_o) + f(x_o, y_j, z_f) + f(x_f, y_j, z_o) + f(x_f, y_j, z_f)] \\ & \left. + \sum_{k=2}^{n_x} [f(x_k, y_o, z_o) + f(x_k, y_o, z_f) + f(x_k, y_f, z_o) + f(x_k, y_f, z_f)] \right\} \\ & + 4 \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{n_y} \sum_{i=2}^{n_z} [f(x_o, y_j, z_i) + f(x_f, y_j, z_i)] + \sum_{k=2}^{n_x} \sum_{i=2}^{n_z} [f(x_k, y_o, z_i) + f(x_k, y_f, z_i)] \right. \\ & \left. + \sum_{k=2}^{n_x} \sum_{j=1}^{n_y} [f(x_k, y_j, z_o) + f(x_k, y_j, z_f)] \right\} \\ & + 8 \sum_{k=2}^{n_x} \sum_{j=1}^{n_y} \sum_{i=2}^{n_z} f(x_k, y_j, z_i) \end{aligned} \right] \quad (2.9)$$

This is the explicit form of the trapezoidal rule applied in 3-dimensions, when the limits of integration are constant.

3. Numerical Derivation of the trapezoidal rule for the 2-D case with variable integration limits

Now if we have a 2-D integral with variable limits of integration, we write this as:

$$I_{2D} = \int_{x_0}^{x_f} \int_{y_0(x)}^{y_f(x)} f(x, y) dy dx = \int_{x_0}^{x_f} g(x) dx \quad (3.1)$$

where

$$g(x) = \int_{y_0(x)}^{y_f(x)} f(x, y) dy \approx \frac{h_y}{2} \left[f(x, y_0(x)) + f(x, y_f(x)) + 2 \sum_{i=2}^{n_y(x)} f(x, y_i(x)) \right] \quad (3.2)$$

The number of y-intervals, $n_y(x)$, is now a function of x because the size of the y-range of integration is a function of x. Substituting the discretized approximation for g(x) in equation (3.2) into equation (3.1) we have

$$\int_{x_0}^{x_f} \int_{y_0}^{y_f} f(x, y) dy dx \approx \int_{x_0}^{x_f} \frac{h_y}{2} \left[f(x, y_0(x)) + f(x, y_f(x)) + 2 \sum_{i=2}^{n_y(x)} f(x, y_i(x)) \right] dx \quad (3.3)$$

Well, we can repeat the application of the trapezoidal rule:

$$I_{2D} \approx \frac{h_x}{2} \left\{ \begin{aligned} & \left[\frac{h_y}{2} \left[f(x_0, y_0(x_0)) + f(x_0, y_f(x_0)) + 2 \sum_{i=2}^{n_y(x_0)} f(x_0, y_i(x_0)) \right] \right] \\ & + \frac{h_y}{2} \left[f(x_f, y_0(x_f)) + f(x_f, y_f(x_f)) + 2 \sum_{i=2}^{n_y(x_f)} f(x_f, y_i(x_f)) \right] \\ & + 2 \sum_{j=2}^{n_x} \frac{h_y}{2} \left[f(x_j, y_0(x_j)) + f(x_j, y_f(x_j)) + 2 \sum_{i=2}^{n_y(x_j)} f(x_j, y_i(x_j)) \right] \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (3.4)$$

Now we can simplify this as much as possible,

$$I_{2D} \approx \frac{h_x h_y}{4} \left\{ \begin{aligned} & \left[f(x_0, y_0(x_0)) + f(x_0, y_f(x_0)) + f(x_f, y_0(x_f)) + f(x_f, y_f(x_f)) \right. \\ & + 2 \left[\sum_{i=2}^{n_y(x_0)} f(x_0, y_i(x_0)) + \sum_{i=2}^{n_y(x_f)} f(x_f, y_i(x_f)) + \sum_{j=2}^{n_x} f(x_j, y_0(x_j)) + \sum_{j=2}^{n_x} f(x_j, y_f(x_j)) \right] \\ & \left. + 4 \sum_{j=2}^{n_x} \sum_{i=2}^{n_y(x_j)} f(x_j, y_i(x_j)) \right] \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (3.5)$$

Let's do an example. Let's integrate $f(x, y) = cxy$ over the range $0 \leq x \leq 1$ and $0 \leq x \leq y$. Let's do it analytically first:

$$I_{2D} = \int_{x_0}^{x_f} \int_{y_0}^{y_f} f(x, y) dy dx = \int_0^1 \int_0^x cxy dy dx = \int_0^1 \left[\frac{cxy^2}{2} \right]_0^x dx = \int_0^1 \frac{cx^3}{2} dx = \frac{cx^4}{8} \Big|_0^1 = \frac{c}{8} \quad (3.6)$$

Now let's do it analytically with $\Delta x = \Delta y = h = 0.1$ $c = 2$

i	x	yo(x)	yf(x)	ny(x)	f(x,yo)	f(x,yf)	sum(f(x,yj))	integral(x)
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	0.1	0	0.1	1	0	0.2	0	0.01
2	0.2	0	0.2	2	0	0.4	0.02	0.022
3	0.3	0	0.3	3	0	0.6	0.12	0.042
4	0.4	0	0.4	4	0	0.8	0.36	0.076
5	0.5	0	0.5	5	0	1	0.8	0.13
6	0.6	0	0.6	6	0	1.2	1.5	0.21
7	0.7	0	0.7	7	0	1.4	2.52	0.322
8	0.8	0	0.8	8	0	1.6	3.92	0.472
9	0.9	0	0.9	9	0	1.8	5.76	0.666
10	1	0	1	10	0	2	8.1	0.91
							total	0.2405

The numerical solution is $I_{2D} = 0.2405$ compared to the exact solution, $I_{2D} = 0.25$

4. Numerical Derivation of the Simpson's 1/3 rule for the 2-D case with constant integration limits

Now if we have a 2-D integral we write this as:

$$I_{2D} = \int_{x_0}^{x_f} \int_{y_0}^{y_f} f(x, y) dy dx = \int_{x_0}^{x_f} g(x) dx \quad (4.1)$$

where

$$g(x) = \int_{y_0}^{y_f} f(x, y) dy \approx \frac{h_y}{3} \left(f(x, y_0) + f(x, y_f) + 4 \sum_{i=2,4,6}^{n_y-1} f(x, y_i) + 2 \sum_{i=3,5,7}^{n_y-2} f(x, y_i) \right) \quad (4.2)$$

Substituting the discretized approximation for $g(x)$ in equation (4.2) into equation (4.1) we have

$$\int_{x_0}^{x_f} \int_{y_0}^{y_f} f(x, y) dy dx \approx \int_{x_0}^{x_f} \frac{h_y}{3} \left(f(x, y_0) + f(x, y_f) + 4 \sum_{i=2,4,6}^{n_y-1} f(x, y_i) + 2 \sum_{i=3,5,7}^{n_y-2} f(x, y_i) \right) dx \quad (4.3)$$

Well, we can repeat the application of the trapezoidal rule:

$$I_{2D} \approx \frac{h_x}{3} \left\{ \begin{aligned} & \frac{h_y}{3} \left(f(x_0, y_0) + f(x_0, y_f) + 4 \sum_{i=2,4,6}^{n_y-1} f(x_0, y_i) + 2 \sum_{i=3,5,7}^{n_y-2} f(x_0, y_i) \right) \\ & + \frac{h_y}{3} \left(f(x_f, y_0) + f(x_f, y_f) + 4 \sum_{i=2,4,6}^{n_y-1} f(x_f, y_i) + 2 \sum_{i=3,5,7}^{n_y-2} f(x_f, y_i) \right) \\ & + 4 \sum_{j=2,4,6}^{n_x-1} \frac{h_y}{3} \left(f(x_j, y_0) + f(x_j, y_f) + 4 \sum_{i=2,4,6}^{n_y-1} f(x_j, y_i) + 2 \sum_{i=3,5,7}^{n_y-2} f(x_j, y_i) \right) \\ & + 2 \sum_{j=3,5,7}^{n_x-2} \frac{h_y}{3} \left(f(x_j, y_0) + f(x_j, y_f) + 4 \sum_{i=2,4,6}^{n_y-1} f(x_j, y_i) + 2 \sum_{i=3,5,7}^{n_y-2} f(x_j, y_i) \right) \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (4.4)$$

Now we can simplify this as much as possible,

$$I_{2D} \approx \frac{h_x h_y}{9} \left\{ \begin{aligned} & f(x_o, y_o) + f(x_o, y_f) + f(x_f, y_o) + f(x_f, y_f) \\ & + 2 \left(\sum_{i=3,5,7}^{n_y-2} [f(x_o, y_i) + f(x_f, y_i)] + \sum_{j=3,5,7}^{n_x-2} [f(x_j, y_o) + f(x_j, y_f)] \right) \\ & + 4 \left(\sum_{i=2,4,6}^{n_y-1} [f(x_o, y_i) + f(x_f, y_i)] + \sum_{j=2,4,6}^{n_x-1} [f(x_j, y_o) + f(x_j, y_f)] \right) \\ & + 8 \left(\sum_{j=2,4,6}^{n_x-1} \sum_{i=3,5,7}^{n_y-2} f(x_j, y_i) + \sum_{j=3,5,7}^{n_x-2} \sum_{i=2,4,6}^{n_y-1} f(x_j, y_i) \right) \\ & + 4 \sum_{j=3,5,7}^{n_x-2} \sum_{i=3,5,7}^{n_y-2} f(x_j, y_i) + 16 \sum_{j=2,4,6}^{n_x-1} \sum_{i=2,4,6}^{n_y-1} f(x_j, y_i) \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (4.5)$$